

Applied Linguistics & Language Learning Theories Applied Linguistics & Language Learning Theories

Contents



Introduction to Applied Linguistics



Behaviorist Theory (1940s-1950s)



Cognitive Theory (1960s-1970s)



Sociocultural Theory (1970s-1980s)



Universal Grammar & Hypotheses



Interactionist Approach (1980s-1990s)



Connectionism & Emergentism



Summary Comparison Table



Conclusion & Applications



Thank You

Introduction to Applied Linguistics



Definition: The study of language learning, teaching, and use in real-world contexts

- Bridges theory and practice in language education
- Informs language pedagogy and curriculum design
- Key focus: How people acquire and learn languages
- Addresses practical language-related problems in society

1940s-1950s

Behaviorist Theory

Key Figures

B.F. Skinner

Leonard Bloomfield

- Language learning through habit formation
- Stimulus-response-reinforcement mechanism
- Emphasis on imitation and repetition
- Foundation for the audio-lingual method

1960s-1970s

Cognitive Theory

Key Figures

Noam Chomsky

Jean Piaget

- Language as mental process rather than habit
- Innate Language Acquisition Device (LAD)
- Rule formation over habit formation
- Active learner construction of knowledge



1970s-1980s

Sociocultural Theory

Key Figure

Lev Vygotsky

- Language learning as social process
- Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)
- Scaffolding and mediation in learning
- Cultural tools and interaction shape language development



Krashen's Monitor Model

Key Figure: Stephen Krashen

Five Hypotheses:

- Acquisition-Learning Hypothesis
 Two distinct systems: subconscious acquisition vs. conscious learning
- Natural Order Hypothesis
 Grammatical structures acquired in predictable, natural sequence
- Affective Filter Hypothesis
 Emotional factors (anxiety, motivation, confidence) affect language acquisition

- Monitor Hypothesis
 Learned knowledge acts as editor/monitor for acquired language output
- Input Hypothesis (i+1)
 Acquisition occurs through comprehensible input slightly beyond current level

Pedagogical Implication: Focus on meaningful communication and comprehensible input; minimize explicit grammar instruction; create low-anxiety learning environments

Universal Grammar & Input/Output Hypotheses



1960s-present

Universal Grammar

Noam Chomsky

- Principles and parameters approach
- Innate linguistic knowledge
- Language faculty specific to humans

1980s

Input Hypothesis

Stephen Krashen

- Comprehensible input (i+1)
- Natural acquisition vs. conscious learning
- Affective filter influences acquisition

1990s

Output Hypothesis

Merrill Swain

- Production aids acquisition
- Noticing gaps through output
- Testing hypotheses about language

1980s-1990s

Interactionist Approach

Key Figures

Michael Long

Susan Gass

- Interaction facilitates acquisition
- Negotiation of meaning in communication
- Modified input and output enhance learning
- Conversational adjustments support comprehension



1980s-present

Connectionism & Emergentism

Key Figures

Nick Ellis

Brian MacWhinney

- Neural network models of language processing
- Pattern recognition and frequency effects
- Language emerges from usage
- Statistical learning mechanisms

Summary Comparison Table

| Theory Name | Era | Key Figure(s) | Core Mechanism | Pedagogical Implication |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| Behaviorist Theory | 1940s-1950s | B.F. Skinner, Bloomfield | Habit formation through stimulus-response- reinforcement | Audio-lingual method, drills, repetition exercises |
| Cognitive Theory | 1960s-1970s | Chomsky, Piaget | Mental processing, innate LAD, rule formation | Focus on understanding rules, problem-solving activities |
| Sociocultural Theory | 1970s-1980s | Vygotsky | Social interaction, ZPD, scaffolding | Collaborative learning, peer interaction, guided support |
| Universal Grammar | 1960s-present | Chomsky | Innate linguistic principles and parameters | Focus on exposure to language, parameter setting |
| Input Hypothesis | 1980s | Krashen | Comprehensible input (i+1), natural acquisition | Provide rich input, minimize error correction, low anxiety |
| Output Hypothesis | 1990s | Swain | Production aids acquisition, noticing gaps | Encourage speaking/writing, pushed output activities |
| Interactionist Approach | 1980s-1990s | Long, Gass | Negotiation of meaning, modified interaction | Communicative tasks, information gap activities |
| Connectionism | 1980s-present | Ellis, MacWhinney | Neural networks, pattern recognition, frequency | Extensive exposure, usage-based learning, frequency focus |

Conclusion & Applications

- Multiple theories inform modern language teaching No single theory provides complete explanation
- Eclectic approach in contemporary pedagogy Combining insights from different frameworks
- Importance of context and learner differences Individual variation and sociocultural factors matter
- **Future directions:** Technology integration, neurolinguistics, multilingualism research

Key Takeaway: Understanding diverse theoretical perspectives enables educators to design more effective, learner-centered language instruction that adapts to evolving educational contexts and technological advances.

Thank You

Questions?