

10. Lecture 10: T.S. Eliot & The Crisis of Meaning

Duration: 3 hours

Introduction

The early twentieth century was marked by unprecedented upheaval; World War I had shattered not only empires but also the collective faith in progress, religion, and rationality that had defined the preceding era. In this climate of cultural and spiritual disintegration, modernist writers sought new forms and voices to express the deep sense of fragmentation and alienation that defined their historical moment. Among them, T.S. Eliot emerged as a towering figure, whose poetry captured the dissonance, paralysis, and yearning of the modern condition.

This lecture explores Eliot's poetic response to what has often been called the *crisis of meaning*: the collapse of traditional values, the disconnection from coherent narratives, and the individual's sense of estrangement in a secular, post-war world. Through close readings of *The Waste Land* and *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*, we will trace how Eliot's innovative use of fragmentation, allusion, and myth dramatizes the loss of spiritual and cultural certainty, while also seeking, however obliquely, a form of redemption or reconstruction.

Eliot does not simply reflect a world in crisis; he reshapes poetic language and form to inhabit that crisis, challenging us not just to read poetry, but to reconstruct meaning from chaos. This lecture presents an invitation to follow Eliot into the heart of modern disillusionment, and to consider how his art both mourns and reimagines what it means to be human in a fractured world.

Part I. Eliot and the Modernist Landscape: Poetry in a Broken World

10.1 Historical Context: Post-WWI Europe and the Disintegration of Traditional Values

The First World War (1914-1918) acted as a devastating rupture in the cultural and moral fabric of Europe. The scale of the war; total in its violence, vast in its death toll, and unprecedented in its technological barbarism, challenged long-standing beliefs in human progress, reason, and the moral stability of civilization. Europe, once the heart of intellectual and cultural advancement, was left shattered by the realization that the very ideals of enlightenment;

rationalism, democracy, and scientific progress, could lead to the destruction of life on such a scale.

The war fundamentally altered perceptions of modernity. The pre-war era had been marked by a faith in reason and the inevitability of progress, especially the optimistic view that technological innovation and colonial expansion would continue to improve the human condition. However, the war shattered that belief, laying bare the horrors of industrialized warfare and the fragility of civilization. This cataclysmic shift led to a deep crisis of confidence in the structures that had upheld Western society, especially the institutions of religion, monarchy, and the idea of the nation-state.

In this environment, writers and artists turned inward. Alienation and disillusionment became pervasive themes, as individuals could no longer trust the foundations of meaning, be it in religion, politics, or cultural narratives, that had previously provided a sense of security. T.S. Eliot's work is deeply embedded in this post-war trauma, where his characters reflect the disintegration of spiritual, moral, and intellectual certainties. The world depicted in his poetry is one of fragmentation, devoid of clear direction, where people grapple with an overwhelming sense of loss and disconnection.

10.2 Overview of Modernism: Experimentation, Fragmentation, and Disillusionment

Modernism, as a literary and artistic movement, emerged in response to the breakdown of traditional systems of meaning and authority. If the 19th century was marked by realism and romanticism, movements focused on representing the external world or an idealized version of reality, modernism sought to represent the inner turmoil of the individual in a fractured, rapidly changing world. Modernism can be characterized by several key features:

- **Formal Experimentation:** Writers began to abandon the linear, coherent narrative forms of the 19th century. They experimented with fragmented, non-linear structures that better reflected the disjointed and fragmented nature of modern life. This can be seen in Eliot's *The Waste Land*, where the poem's structure mirrors the breakdown of coherent thought and meaning through disordered images, voices, and languages. Modernist writers often utilized a form of stream of consciousness or interior monologue to explore the complexity of human consciousness.

- **Fragmentation of Reality:** Modernist works often depict fragmented, broken, or contradictory selves. Characters are often alienated, disillusioned, or trapped in repetitive cycles. These fragmented selves reflect the shattered nature of modern reality. For example, in *Prufrock*, the protagonist is unable to integrate his fragmented thoughts or reconcile his desire for connection with his self-imposed isolation.
- **Disillusionment and Alienation:** Modernism is fundamentally a reaction to the trauma of war, the decline of empire, and the collapse of traditional beliefs. It is not merely about artistic innovation; it is about cultural disillusionment. Writers like Eliot questioned established truths, and their works are filled with characters who feel lost, disconnected from a world that seems devoid of coherent values. The disillusionment in modernist texts often reflects the spiritual and emotional crises individuals experience when they realize that life may lack inherent meaning or order.

10.3 T.S. Eliot: Poet, Critic, Editor, and Expatriate

Eliot's biography is essential to understanding his work. Born in St. Louis, Missouri, Eliot was educated at Harvard University, where he studied philosophy, French literature, and Sanskrit. After completing his studies, he moved to London in 1914, where he spent the majority of his life and eventually became a British citizen in 1927. His expatriate status placed him outside of American and British national identities, and this sense of detachment profoundly influenced his writing. Eliot's poetry reflects both his deep engagement with European intellectual traditions and his critical distance from both American and British cultural conventions.

Eliot's work as a literary critic and editor; most notably at Faber & Faber, a major British publishing house, further shaped his creative output. As a critic, Eliot's essay "Tradition and the Individual Talent" (1919) articulates the modernist idea that the poet's creativity does not exist in isolation but is in constant conversation with the past. He argued that the poet must engage with and respond to the literary tradition, but also transcend it by bringing fresh, individual insights. Eliot's critical approach emphasizes impersonality in poetry: the poet, for Eliot, should not be too transparent or overly subjective, as poetry should be about ideas and universal experiences, not personal sentiment.

As an Anglo-Catholic and someone deeply invested in classical learning, Eliot saw the modern world as spiritually adrift. While his poetry critiques the decline of religious and cultural certainty, it also signals a longing for restoration or transcendence, even though he doesn't offer easy answers.

10.4 The “Crisis of Meaning” in the Early 20th Century

The "crisis of meaning" is both a philosophical and existential dilemma. It refers to the disintegration of the grand narratives, historical, religious, and cultural, that had previously provided humans with a sense of purpose and identity. The intellectual climate of the early 20th century was marked by the rise of existentialism, nihilism, and the crisis of religious faith, all of which questioned the possibility of absolute meaning or truth.

- **Philosophical Underpinnings:** Figures like Friedrich Nietzsche had argued that the death of God had left a void in Western thought. Without a transcendent moral framework, individuals were left to navigate a world without inherent meaning. Similarly, the Existentialist movement (with philosophers like Jean-Paul Sartre and Martin Heidegger) emphasized that life has no intrinsic purpose, and individuals must create their own meaning through personal experience and choice. This is mirrored in Eliot's poetry, where characters such as Prufrock, Tiresias, and the inhabitants of *The Waste Land* express a profound sense of existential questioning and moral paralysis.
- **Eliot's Response to the Crisis:** Eliot himself confronted this crisis in his poetry by revealing the disillusionment of modern existence. In *The Waste Land*, the constant disintegration of meaning is underscored by the poem's fragmented structure; its collage of voices, literary allusions, and multiple languages suggest a world where meaning can no longer be easily deciphered. Furthermore, the repeated motif of spiritual desolation, such as the image of a dry, barren land, signifies the loss of cultural and spiritual vitality.

The modern subject, as depicted by Eliot, is alienated, isolated, and often unable to find coherence in a fragmented world. This crisis of meaning leads to Eliot's famous tension between apocalyptic despair and the search for spiritual renewal. In this sense, Eliot's work is not merely a reflection of the crisis but also a symbolic attempt to reconstruct meaning, albeit through myth, religion, and memory, all of which he reconfigures to fit the modern experience of disillusionment.

Part II. *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*

10.5 A Study of Modern Subjectivity: Close Reading & Analysis

The poem opens with the famous line, “*Let us go then, you and I,*” a line whose intimacy and rhythm echo the metaphysical love poems of John Donne. However, this promise of romantic adventure is immediately subverted by a jarring image: “When the evening is spread out against the sky / Like a patient etherized upon a table.” This simile not only negates the initial warmth of the invitation but also sets the tone for the poem’s underlying malaise. The juxtaposition signals a central tension in Modernist poetry: the failure of traditional poetic forms and sentiments to adequately address the dislocation of modern experience. Eliot offers no transcendence here; only a sterile psychological space haunted by inertia and disillusionment.

10.5.1 Dislocation and Stream of Consciousness

Prufrock’s narrative unfolds as a drifting, unanchored monologue that mimics the inner workings of the mind. There is no stable present tense; rather, we move from memory to imagination, from fear to longing, with minimal logical transition. Eliot’s early use of a stream-of-consciousness style, later refined by novelists such as James Joyce and Virginia Woolf, allows readers to enter a fractured consciousness that typifies the modern condition. The poem’s form, built on disjunction and free association, enacts the very dislocation it seeks to portray, making structure itself an embodiment of psychological fragmentation.

10.5.2 Ironic Romanticism

Eliot positions Prufrock within the framework of a love poem, only to systematically dismantle the expectations associated with the genre. Prufrock desires connection, perhaps even romance, but his yearning is overwhelmed by social anxiety and fear of rejection. The repeated observation that “*In the room the women come and go / Talking of Michelangelo*” reflects both the triviality of social interactions and the inadequacy of elevated discourse to capture genuine emotion. Eliot thus ironizes the romantic tradition, not by parodying love, but by showing how self-consciousness and cultural exhaustion render intimacy nearly impossible in the modern world.

10.5.3 The Character of Prufrock: A Modern Flâneur

Prufrock, like the flâneur described by Baudelaire and Benjamin, is an urban wanderer. But unlike his nineteenth-century counterpart who revels in the spectacle of city life, Prufrock is estranged from his surroundings. The city becomes a labyrinth of foggy streets and half-deserted restaurants, a landscape of alienation rather than discovery. His gaze is not detached and analytical but fearful and paralyzed, transforming the urban experience into one of existential dread.

10.5.4 Paralyzed by Self-Consciousness

Prufrock is consumed by a hyper-awareness of himself as a social object. His famous question; “*Do I dare disturb the universe?*”, epitomizes his anxious self-scrutiny. This paralysis is compounded by his internalization of others’ judgments: “*They will say: ‘How his hair is growing thin!’*” Prufrock’s identity is shaped by imagined criticisms and anticipated failure. His obsession with appearances and propriety traps him in an inescapable loop of inaction and self-loathing, a modern figure of existential inertia.

10.5.5 Alienation from Self and Society

The poem dramatizes a profound split between the self and its environment, between internal consciousness and external performance. Prufrock neither belongs to the social world he inhabits nor finds unity within himself. He performs a role but cannot speak authentically; he dreams of transformation (“I have heard the mermaids singing”) but knows he will never be part of that mythic realm. The result is a portrayal of the modern subject as alienated, fragmented, and estranged from both collective meaning and personal agency.

10.5.6 Dramatic Monologue and Interior Monologue

Eliot adopts the dramatic monologue tradition from poets like Robert Browning, yet innovates it by blending it with interior monologue. Prufrock’s speech is both addressed to a listener and overheard by the reader, creating a complex layering of voices. This stylistic hybrid allows Eliot to explore how identity is constructed through discourse and undermined by doubt. The dramatic monologue becomes a site of tension: it enacts the desire to communicate and the impossibility of being truly heard, an essential modernist paradox.

10.6 Themes

Insecurity and the failure of communication are central themes in the poem. Prufrock cannot articulate his desires nor act upon them; he evades the “overwhelming question” and retreats into hypothetical outcomes. This breakdown of communication reflects a broader modernist concern with the insufficiency of language to convey authentic experience. Closely related is the theme of performativity. Prufrock must constantly “prepare a face to meet the faces that you meet,” suggesting that social identity is not a stable essence but a mask fashioned through repetitive acts. In this, Eliot anticipates later philosophical notions of performative identity, particularly in post-structuralist and gender theory. The fragmentation of thought in the poem, its jumps in logic, its mingling of memory and desire, further underscores the disintegration of a coherent self. Prufrock becomes a figure of modern subjectivity: diffuse, performative, and internally divided.

10.7 Literary Devices & Intertextuality

Eliot’s allusive density reinforces the theme of fragmentation. The poem begins with an epigraph from Dante’s *Inferno*; a speech by Guido da Montefeltro, who confesses his sins in hell under the assumption that no living soul will hear him. This sets the tone for Prufrock’s own monologue: a confession made in the absence of redemption, self-disclosure without hope of absolution. Shakespearean allusions, particularly the denial of Hamlet’s stature (“No! I am not Prince Hamlet, nor was meant to be”), reinforce Prufrock’s self-deprecation and his sense of being a marginal, ineffectual figure. The repeated references to Michelangelo serve a dual function: they elevate the cultural background of the poem while ironically exposing the triviality of elite social conversations, disconnected from emotional or spiritual depth. Biblical and liturgical echoes, scattered throughout the poem, further highlight the loss of sacred authority. Once-powerful symbols have become hollowed out, their meaning drained in a secularized, ironic modernity.

Part III. *The Waste Land*: A Modernist Epic of Cultural Collapse

10.8 Fragmentation as Form

T.S. Eliot’s *The Waste Land* (1922) is arguably the most influential poem of the twentieth century, encapsulating the aesthetic and intellectual ruptures of the Modernist era. Composed in

the aftermath of World War I, the poem responds to a Europe traumatized by violence, disillusioned with Enlightenment ideals, and adrift in a spiritual vacuum. It reflects the “crisis of meaning” through a collage of voices, languages, and literary allusions, suggesting that no single narrative can any longer contain the complexity of modern experience. The poem is not simply about fragmentation; it performs fragmentation, embodying both the chaos it portrays and the search for coherence.

10.8.1 “The Burial of the Dead”

The opening section of *The Waste Land*, titled “The Burial of the Dead,” sets the thematic and tonal foundation for the entire poem. It opens with a paradox; “April is the cruellest month” which deliberately subverts the traditional poetic associations of spring with rebirth and fertility. Here, spring is cast not as a symbol of hope, but as a painful awakening in a world stripped of spiritual vitality. The invocation of personal memory, particularly through the recollections of the aristocratic Marie, evokes a nostalgia for a lost world of coherence and cultural order. This autobiographical tone quickly gives way to a fragmented and impersonal voice, illustrating the dislocation of self in modern consciousness. Eliot draws on a wealth of cultural references, from Chaucer to the Book of Ecclesiastes to Wagner’s *Tristan und Isolde*; to suggest a once-vibrant tradition that now survives only as isolated echoes.

Formally, this section exemplifies Eliot’s innovative use of montage, presenting discontinuous voices, narrative shifts, and intertextual fragments. This stylistic fragmentation mirrors the psychological and social breakdown of post-WWI Europe. The structure resists linear progression, requiring the reader to participate actively in meaning-making. Philosophically, the section reflects a world in crisis, not merely in the aftermath of war but facing the collapse of transcendent values. The poem speaks from within a cultural landscape that no longer offers certainty; either religious, moral, or aesthetic, and thus gives voice to the early twentieth-century crisis of meaning.

10.8.2 “A Game of Chess”

In “A Game of Chess,” Eliot juxtaposes two contrasting but equally bleak portraits of human connection. The first portrays an opulent, claustrophobic interior, evoking classical and Renaissance images of luxury and decay. Here, a neurotic female voice dominates; a figure

reminiscent of Shakespeare's Cleopatra or Philomela, trapped in an environment of excess and psychological disorder. The lush, overwrought imagery of the boudoir contrasts starkly with the emotional emptiness it conceals, offering a portrait of modern love as performance rather than intimacy. The second half of the section shifts to a London pub, where working-class women engage in coarse, repetitive dialogue. Despite the shift in social register, the emotional content remains the same: disconnected, mechanical, devoid of genuine communion.

This section critiques modern relationships as sites of disillusionment, marked by sterility rather than generativity. The myth of Philomel, drawn from Ovid, functions allegorically: a woman mutilated and silenced, who ultimately speaks through her transformation into a nightingale, becomes a figure for the poet's struggle to render trauma into art. Eliot's use of dramatic juxtaposition between high culture and everyday vulgarity suggests that all levels of society are afflicted by the same spiritual malaise. The refrain "HURRY UP PLEASE IT'S TIME" mimics the closing call in a pub, but also serves as a *memento mori*; an urgent summons to reckon with mortality and meaninglessness. The emotional and symbolic sterility portrayed here deepens the sense of disconnection introduced in the first section, and anticipates the spiritual exhaustion further explored in "The Fire Sermon."

10.8.3 "The Fire Sermon"

"The Fire Sermon" further intensifies the poem's examination of modern degeneracy by introducing the figure of Tiresias, the blind prophet who embodies both male and female perspectives and bridges mythic past with contemporary urban decay. Through Tiresias's narrative, Eliot presents a London teeming with fatigue, lust, and meaninglessness. The depiction of a typist's mechanical sexual encounter with a young clerk epitomizes the degradation of human intimacy in the modern world. This encounter is not only emotionally sterile but spiritually bankrupt; a mechanical act devoid of connection, echoing the larger cultural inability to access passion, empathy, or transcendence.

Eliot sets the polluted Thames River against its earlier poetic depictions in Spenser and Marvell, thereby signaling a decline not just in love but in artistic tradition itself. This polluted landscape becomes emblematic of Western spiritual and moral decay. In contrast, Eliot gestures toward Eastern philosophy, particularly the Buddha's Fire Sermon, which advocates detachment from desire and sensual craving as a path to enlightenment. The title and thematic content thus

suggest a stark moral critique of Western hedonism. Yet the vision offered is not purely one of moral condemnation; rather, Eliot presents a world trapped in sensory overload, searching in vain for stillness, control, and spiritual discipline. The layering of voices and sources, ranging from St. Augustine to the Upanishads, underscores the complexity and multiplicity of this critique, while also reflecting Eliot's own syncretic vision of cultural renewal.

10.8.4 “Death by Water”

“Death by Water” serves as a brief but potent meditation on mortality and the ironic failure of traditional symbols of rebirth. The figure of Phlebas the Phoenician, earlier introduced in the Tarot card allusions, is here revealed to have drowned, his death unaccompanied by transcendence or resurrection. Water, which traditionally symbolizes purification and renewal in both Christian and pagan traditions, becomes a medium of annihilation rather than transformation. The brevity of this section and its subdued tone reflect the numbing acceptance of death as an indifferent, impersonal force in the modern world.

This section exemplifies Eliot's rejection of sentimental consolation. The reference to the wheel of fortune; “a fortnight dead”; reinforces the inevitability and arbitrariness of death. There is no afterlife here, no divine judgment, only a return to the elements. Yet, precisely in its starkness, the section asks the reader to confront the possibility that meaning itself may not be recoverable. In a modernist context, Phlebas's fate echoes the broader epistemological despair of the age, wherein even mythic archetypes no longer carry metaphysical weight. The imagery and diction are stripped of ornamentation, reflecting the emotional flatness and spiritual exhaustion of modern death.

10.8.5 “What the Thunder Said”

The final section, “What the Thunder Said,” moves into apocalyptic territory, portraying a scorched and lifeless landscape in which spiritual hunger is felt with the greatest intensity. The imagery of rocks, silence, and dryness evokes both the physical wasteland of the post-war world and the inner desert of the soul. The poem shifts to an increasingly visionary register, drawing on Christian, Hindu, and Buddhist motifs to evoke a longing for redemption amidst collapse. While earlier sections depict the death of communication and communion, this one envisions the potential, however faint, for renewal.

The thunder's pronouncement; Datta (Give), Dayadhvam (Sympathize), Damyata (Control); offers a fragmentary ethical imperative, drawn from the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad. These words imply a path of restoration through generosity, empathy, and discipline, though Eliot offers them not as a sermon but as cryptic possibilities. The act of naming these virtues does not resolve the poem's tensions but rather gestures toward a lost or yet-to-be-discovered coherence. The concluding incantation, "Shantih shantih shantih," provides a moment of rhythmic and spiritual closure, echoing the peace "that passeth understanding" from Philippians 4:7, though it does not eliminate the chaos that has preceded it.

Formally, this section encapsulates the poem's aesthetics of fragmentation and polyphony. Eliot assembles an intertextual mosaic that includes the Passion narrative, Dante, European folklore, Wagnerian opera, and Eastern scripture. This vast range of references creates an overwhelming sense of cultural simultaneity and collapse. In the final lines, Eliot invites the reader not to find fixed meaning, but to engage in a process of continual interpretation and reflection. The wasteland may persist, but the poem ends with a whispered hope for peace; not as a realized state, but as an enduring aspiration.

Part IV. Synthesis: The Crisis of Meaning in Eliot's Vision

To fully grasp the thematic scope of Eliot's work, it is essential to consider him not only as a poet but also as a literary theorist. In his seminal essay *Tradition and the Individual Talent* (1919), Eliot challenges the Romantic notion of poetry as the spontaneous overflow of personal feeling. Instead, he emphasizes the impersonality of the poetic process, arguing that the individual poet must engage with the "historical sense"; a deep consciousness of literary tradition. This theoretical stance is not simply abstract: it informs Eliot's practice as a modernist writer. Both *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock* and *The Waste Land* are densely allusive texts, situated within a vast web of cultural memory, wherein the poet's voice becomes a palimpsest of earlier voices; Dante, Shakespeare, the Bible, Eastern scripture. Eliot's use of fragmentation and intertextuality is not a rejection of tradition, but a reinvention of it; in a world where inherited meanings no longer hold, the poet must reconstruct significance through literary echoes and juxtapositions.

This interplay between individual consciousness and cultural breakdown is especially evident when comparing the inner turmoil of Prufrock with the collective disintegration depicted

in *The Waste Land*. Prufrock's dilemma is psychological and existential: he is paralyzed by self-consciousness, overwhelmed by the fear of judgment, and unable to translate desire into action. His fragmentation is internal, his world defined by indecision and performativity. In contrast, *The Waste Land* externalizes this fragmentation: it is not merely the self that is broken, but society, culture, and spirituality. Where Prufrock asks, "Do I dare?" in a solitary setting, *The Waste Land* poses the question on behalf of a civilization adrift in the aftermath of war and ideological collapse. Both figures: Prufrock and the impersonal voices of *The Waste Land*, articulate different dimensions of the crisis of modernity: one localized in the self, the other writ large across the cultural landscape. Eliot's genius lies in his ability to express both the intensely private and the broadly historical in a unified poetic vision.

Despite the bleakness of these early poems, Eliot's later works suggest a tentative movement toward resolution. In *Four Quartets* (1943), composed after his conversion to Anglicanism, Eliot revisits many of the same questions: time, language, death, tradition, but does so within a framework of Christian metaphysics. The earlier disillusionment gives way to a contemplative spirituality, emphasizing the cyclical nature of time and the possibility of transcendence through stillness, humility, and divine grace. The emphasis on logos (word) and silence in *Four Quartets* contrasts with the cacophony of *The Waste Land*, offering the possibility that meaning, though fragmented, can be restored through spiritual insight and disciplined reflection. Thus, Eliot's career as a whole chart is a trajectory from fragmentation to coherence, from irony to faith; a movement that reflects the broader modernist search not just for new forms, but for renewed meaning.

In this light, *The Waste Land* can be read not merely as a lament but as part of a spiritual and aesthetic journey. The crisis it portrays is not final, but diagnostic: a recognition of cultural and personal breakdown that opens the way for potential regeneration. Eliot's poetry asks us to confront the emptiness of modern life, but also to search through art, tradition, and perhaps faith for what might still be salvaged.

Conclusion

T.S. Eliot's poetic achievement lies in his ability to transform the despair and fragmentation of modernity into an aesthetic and philosophical project. Through *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock* and *The Waste Land*, Eliot not only captures the existential disarray of the

early twentieth century but also pioneers a poetic form that embraces disjunction, allusion, and multiplicity as tools for constructing meaning amid chaos. His poetry moves between personal neurosis and cultural collapse, eventually gesturing toward spiritual renewal in later works. Eliot does not provide easy solutions to the crisis of meaning but invites his readers to become participants in the act of interpretation and reconstruction, thus reshaping what poetry can mean and do in a fractured world.

In our next lecture, we will shift from Modernism to Postmodernism, examining how the literary landscape continues to evolve in response to the crises of meaning and representation. Focusing on John Fowles's *The French Lieutenant's Woman* (1969), we will explore how postmodern fiction challenges narrative authority, embraces metafiction, and foregrounds the fragmentation of identity and history. Through Fowles's innovative use of multiple endings, intrusive narration, and historical pastiche, we will investigate how the novel both critiques and plays with the conventions of Victorian realism while reflecting on the complexities of truth, freedom, and authorship in the postmodern age.

10.9 Short Essay Assignment: Comparative Analysis of Alienation and the Search for Meaning in Modernist Literature

Write a well-structured and critically engaged short essay (1,200–1,500 words) comparing *The Waste Land* by T. S. Eliot with another modernist text of your choice; such as Virginia Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway* or James Joyce's *Ulysses*. Focus your analysis on how each text articulates the themes of alienation and the search for meaning in the context of early twentieth-century modernity. Support your argument with close textual analysis and critical frameworks.

Your essay should consider the following:

- How do both texts reflect the fragmentation of identity and social experience in the aftermath of World War I?
- In what ways do formal innovations (e.g., stream of consciousness, non-linear narrative, intertextuality, mythic structure) enhance the depiction of existential dislocation?

10.10 Works Cited

- Eliot, T. S. *The Waste Land*. Edited by Michael North, Norton, 2001.

- —. *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*. 1915. *The Complete Poems and Plays: 1909–1950*, Harcourt, 1971, pp. 3–7.
- —. “Tradition and the Individual Talent.” *The Sacred Wood: Essays on Poetry and Criticism*, Methuen, 1920, pp. 47–59.
- North, Michael. *Reading 1922: A Return to the Scene of the Modern*. Oxford UP, 1999.
- Levenson, Michael. *A Genealogy of Modernism: A Study of English Literary Doctrine 1908–1922*. Cambridge UP, 1984.
- Childs, Peter. *Modernism*. Routledge, 2008.
- Bradbury, Malcolm, and James McFarlane, editors. *Modernism: 1890–1930*. Penguin, 1991.