## Course Evaluation Grid

## Rating Scale

Rating	Description	
		Percentage
Excellent	Exemplary implementation that could serve as a model for others	100%
Very Good	Successfully implements the criterion with minor room for improvement	90%
Good	Basic implementation with significant room for improvement	60%
Insufficient	Present but inadequate implementation	30%
Not done	Missing or failed implementation	0%

## **Evaluation Grid**

Evaluation Criteria		Excellent	Very good	Good	Insufficient	Not done
Organizational System	Overall course structure and organization  Presence of all required elements [ course description, target audience, credits, hours, evaluation type, instructor contact]  Presentation of the conceptual map  Coherence among input, learning and output systems  Availibility of resources [ images, icons, drawings, photos, diagrams, trees, tables, arrows, comics, animations, videos, etc.]  Language adapted to the target audience		good			done
	Communication tools [chat, forum]					

		I		
	Presentation of the course main objective			
Input System	Presentation of the course specific objectives			
	Clarity and measurability of course objectives			
	Presence of prerequisites			
	Coherence between prerequisites and course content			
	Use of measuarble action verbs [Bloom's Taxonomy]			
	Presence of pre-test			
	Coherence between objectives and course content			
	Clear description of course content			
	Logical progression of learning activities			
Landa Carre	Local learning activities for each unit			
Learning System	Feedback-based learing			
	Activities for each type of session (tutorial, practicals,			
	quizzes, questions)			
	Use of various supporting resources (PDFs, website,			
	videos)			
Output System  Bibliography	Evaluation of learning outcomes/post-tests			
	Orientation strategy in case of success			
	Remediation or reorientation in case of failure			
	Suggested bibliography			
	Relevance and recency of references			
	Compliance with citation standards			
Overall Evaluation				